YUKI M ASANO AT SBA WORKSHOP, UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

Self-supervised Learning from Images and Videos





Hi, l'm Yuki

- Assistant Professor with Video & Image Sense (VIS) Lab
 - Self-supervised Learning
 - Multi-modal Learning
 - Large Model Adaptation

• More info: <u>https://yukimasano.github.io/</u>









The field of AI has made rapid progress, the crucial fuel is data





Neocognitron: A self-organizing neural network model for a mechanism of pattern recognition unaffected by shift in position. Fukushima, K., Biol. Cybernetics 1980 Object Recognition with Gradient-Based Learning. LeCun et al. Shape, Contour and Grouping in Computer Vision 1999 ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. Deng, et al. CVPR, 2009. ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks., Krizhevsky et al., NeurIPS 2012



Images are often cheap



ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. Dong et al. CVPR 2009 The Cityscapes Dataset for Semantic Urban Scene Understanding. Cordts et al. CVPR 2016 Scene parsing through ADE20K dataset. Zhou et al. CVPR 2017.

But manual annotations are expensive: e.g. 30min per image / requiring experts



Self-supervised Learning replaces the need for labels & annotations. Self-supervised Learning





ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. Dong et al. CVPR 2009 The Cityscapes Dataset for Semantic Urban Scene Understanding. Cordts et al. CVPR 2016 Scene parsing through ADE20K dataset. Zhou et al. CVPR 2017.



Extract a free supervisory signal from the raw data



General procedure of self-supervised learning.

Phase 1: Pretraining



Unlabelled data + transformations



Gradient

Phase 2: Downstream tasks



(Sparse) labeled data



For example:

1) rotate image by multiple of 90 degrees 2) have network predict the rotation

Typically has less data





Why do we want to do self-supervised learning?





Reason 1: Scalability and GPT as proof-of-concept

Instagram: >50B images

50K·

1M -----

1B







Annotation is expensive, yet datasets keep getting bigger.



Reason 2: Constantly changing domains



Unclear when & what to relabel. Again, large costs just to "keep up".





Reason 3: Ambiguity of labels and captions





https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_house_styles https://www.shutterstock.com/image-illustration/flat-ships-sailing-yachts-marine-sailboats-1903407259 https://excavating.ai/ Crawford & Paglen Stereotyping and Bias in the Flickr30K Dataset. Miltenburg. MMC. 2016.



A hot, blond girl getting criticized by her boss.

Problematic captions

Labels are ambiguous at best, discriminating and bias-propagating at worst. Do we really wish to provide our models with these priors?





Especially videos open exciting new directions





Visual development for AI



Bonus: insane scale:





"Get" physics

Embodied AI





Is ImageNet worth 1 video? Learning strong image encoders from 1 long unlabelled video.

VENKATARAMANAN, RIZVE, CARREIRA, AVRITHIS*, ASANO*.

ICLR 2024





Augmentations are crucial in classic image-SSL, but forcing frames to be invariant is limiting



But does this generally make sense?



Solution is obvious

Salehi, Gavves, Snoek, Asano. Time does tell: self-supervised time-tuning of dense image representations. ICCV 2023

TimeTuning: pretrained model & How powerful is time videos.

use temporal info of without image-pretraining?

Motivated by: Asano Rupprecht, Vedaldi. A critical analysis of self-supervision, or what we can learn from a single image. ICLR 2020 Salehi, Gavves, Snoek, Asano. Time does tell: self-supervised time-tuning of dense image representations. ICCV 2023

Study the extreme: try to learn from a single video, from scratch.

Us figuring out which video to use

WTours also used for learning video compression in ACCV 2022: Wiles et al. Compressed Vision for Efficient Video Understanding.

Long
High-res, smooth
Semantically rich
Scalable (for SSL)

Walking Tours

The dataset consists of 10x 4K videos of different cities' Walking Tours.

WT Venice: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fGX0Te6pFvk. CC-BY Poptravel.

Dora: Discover and Track

Much like Dora, we walk around and learn from what we see.

-
 _

Spreading attention with Sinkhorn-Knopp

Venkataramanan, Rizve, Carreira, Avrithis*, Asano*. Is ImageNet worth 1 video? Learning strong image encoders from 1 long unlabelled video. ICLR 2024

More examples: multi-object tracking in a ViT emerges

Venkataramanan, Rizve, Carreira, Avrithis*, Asano*. Is ImageNet worth 1 video? Learning strong image encoders from 1 long unlabelled video. ICLR 2024

Can we obtain performances better than training on images?

University of Amsterdam

(I have no idea where this gif is from)

Dora better than DINO WT+ Dora: great match

The Augmented Image Prior: Distilling 1000 Classes by Extrapolating from a Single Image.

SAEED*, ASANO*.

ICLR 2023

0.4 x	n0208739
0.2 x	n0144353
0.1 x	n0769753

How can we test this fairytale?

A single image *I*

Pretrained neural network (teacher)

University of Amsterdam

Randomly initialized network (student)

Augmentations $\mathscr{A}(I)$

≈ ImageNet, ≈ *Kinetics* ≈ UCF

.~ ..

The real motivation

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Can we extrapolate from a single image to semantic categories?

All natural images-

Augmentations $\mathscr{A}(I)$

Can we extrapolate from a single image to semantic categories?

All natural images.

Augmentations $\mathscr{A}(I)$

Why it might work: The augmented image prior

Within the space of all possible images \mathcal{T} , a single real image I and its augmentations $\mathscr{A}(I)$ provide a very informative prior about all real images for extrapolation

$I \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \mathcal{I} = \{0, ..., 255\}^{3 \times 224 \times 224}$

Method

Our pipeline is kept as simple as possible.

Training data: very varied, as are the teacher's predictions.

0.2803%: packet (692) 0.1994%: rubber eraser (767) 0.1947%: envelope (549) 0.1910%: Band Aid (419) 0.1865%: lighter (626)

0.2653%: comic book (917) 0.2453%: sarong (775) 0.2436%: sombrero (808) 0.2344%: shopping basket (790) 0.2336%: toyshop (865)

0.2736%: nail (677) 0.2657%: screw (783) 0.2121%: hook (600) 0.2035%: knot (616) 0.1901%: letter opener (623)

0.1563%: cleaver (499) 0.1549%: can opener (473) 0.1521%: whistle (902) 0.1511%: screw (783) 0.1507%: spatula (813)

0.3454%:	grocery store (582)
0.3175%:	jinrikisha (612)
0.2830%:	restaurant (762)
0.2367%:	toyshop (865)
0.2322%:	barbershop (424)

- 0.2557%: slide rule (798) 0.2362%: rule (769) 0.2135%: letter opener (623) 0.2116%: Windsor tie (906)
- 0.2061%: matchstick (644)

We can also make fake-videos out of images.

pick two crops, smoothly transition between them.

Guessing game! [the actual training data]

University of Amsterdam

34

Results

Comparison of datasets (number of pixels)

CIFAR-10(51M)

36

Image complexity matters

Image complexity matters

University of Amsterdam

Other images

(a) The "Noise" Image. From uniform noise [0,255]. Size: 2,560x1,920, PNG: 16.3MB.

(b) The "Universe" Image. Size: 2,300x2,100, JPEG: 7.2MB.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

(c) The "Bridge" Image. Size: 1,280x853, JPEG: 288KB.

(d) The "City" Image. Size: 2,560x1,920, JPEG: 1.9MB.

(e) The "Animals" Image. Size: 1,300x600, JPEG: 267KB.

So what accuracy do we get on ImageNet?

Random = 0.1%

Our model achieves 69.0% top-1 accuracy on ImageNet-1k val. Without ever having seen more than that one image.

Teacher model = 69.8%

Learning signal: even top-5 or argmax works well.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Orekondy et al. Knockoff nets: Stealing functionality of black-box models. CVPR 2019

On ImageNet-1k: using argmax: still 44% top-1 Acc. (compared to 69.%)

Even with only top-5 predictions (and confidence) or hard distillation, performance only slightly degrades.

API providers! (c.f. Orekondy et al.)

Performance on video action classification benchmarks.

100 75 Top-1 Accuracy in % 50 25

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Teacher (X3D-S) Single "Image" trained student

UCF-101

Kinetics 400

- Bird
- Cat

- Semantic extrapolation works
- patches (·) are "inside", real images (x) "outside"

Frog

Neuron activation maximisation: learning pandas from the city-jungle.

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

For intermediate layers, see paper.

Lifeboat

Balloon

Pretzel

Altar

UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

Bambach et al. Toddler-Inspired Visual Object Learning. NeurIPS 2018

Team for the works presented

TimeTuning

Mohammadreza Salehi

Efstratios Gavves

WTour Dora

Shashanka Venkataramanan

1-image distill

Yuki M. Asano¹

Salehi, Gavves, Snoek, Asano. *Time does tell: self-supervised time-tuning of dense image representations*. ICCV 2023 Venkataramanan, Rizve, Carreira, Avrithis*, Asano*. Is ImageNet worth 1 video? Learning strong image encoders from 1 long unlabelled video. ICLR 2024 [oral] Asano & Saeed. The Augmented Image Prior: Distilling 1000 Classes by Extrapolating from a Single Image. ICLR 2023. ¹: co-first authors; *: co-last authors

Cees G. M. Snoek

Yuki M. Asano

Joao Carreira

Yuki M. Asano*

Yannis Avrithis*

46